Living Labs for IR Evaluation #1241

Overview

Anne Schuth University of Amsterdam Krisztian Balog University of Stavanger Liadh Kelly Trinity College Dublin



http://living-labs.net @livinglabsnet the lab use cases conclusions

• New lab

- New lab
- Realistic IR evaluation

- New lab
- Realistic IR evaluation
- Exposing experimental systems to real users

- New lab
- Realistic IR evaluation
- Exposing experimental systems to **real users**
 - Unsuspecting users

- New lab
- Realistic IR evaluation
- Exposing experimental systems to **real users**
 - Unsuspecting users
 - Users performing real tasks

- New lab
- Realistic IR evaluation
- Exposing experimental systems to **real users**
 - Unsuspecting users
 - Users performing real tasks
 - Users issuing **real queries**











































• API (open source) to communicate

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API
 - **Easy** to connect new search engines

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API
 - **Easy** to connect new search engines
- Fast (for crucial requests)

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API
 - **Easy** to connect new search engines
- Fast (for crucial requests)
- REST-full, JSON

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API
 - **Easy** to connect new search engines
- Fast (for crucial requests)
- REST-full, JSON
- Example clients

- API (open source) to communicate
 - Queries, documents, runs, clicks, ...
- Both researchers and search engines use API
 - **Easy** to connect new search engines
- Fast (for crucial requests)
- REST-full, JSON
- Example clients
 - Easy to get started

Dashboard

•	Living Labs Dashboard ×							a
4	← → C D living-labs.net:5001/user/me/							☆ =
	Living Lab	s Dashboard	y t	Vebsite	Dashboard	Documentation		
	Home	Participants	Sites	Му	Admin	L anneschuth →		
	Profile for anneschuth							
	Teamname	eamname anneschuth						
Email anne.schuth@uva.nl								
API key 9F2ECC38BEE4DCFC-VIII PM								
	Creation	Creation 2014-06-05 14:51:16.973000						
	VerifiedYesTypeParticipant							
API

Request

GET /api/participant/query/(key)

Response

```
{
"queries": [ {
"creation_time": "Mon, 10 Nov 2014 17:42:24",
"qid": "S-q1",
"qstr": "jaguar",
"type": "train"
}, ...]
}
```

Evaluate systems on the same set of queries

- Evaluate systems on the same set of queries
- Stable volume

- Evaluate systems on the same set of queries
- Stable volume
- Historical click and usage data is available

- Evaluate systems on the same set of queries
- Stable volume
- Historical click and usage data is available
- No (or less) **privacy** issues

- Evaluate systems on the same set of queries
- Stable volume
- Historical click and usage data is available
- No (or less) **privacy** issues
- Researchers can upload rankings offline

- Train queries
 - 'Immediate' feedback

- Train queries
 - 'Immediate' feedback
 - Raw and aggregated feedback

- Train queries
 - 'Immediate' feedback
 - Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries

- Train queries
 - 'Immediate' feedback
 - Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries
 - No updates during test period

- Train queries
 - 'Immediate' feedback
 - Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries
 - No updates during test period
 - Feedback after test period

- 'Immediate' feedback
- Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries
 - No updates during test period
 - Feedback after test period
 - Only Aggregated feedback

- 'Immediate' feedback
- Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries
 - No updates during test period
 - Feedback after test period
 - Only Aggregated feedback
- Metric: Team Draft Interleaving

- 'Immediate' feedback
- Raw and aggregated feedback
- Test queries
 - No updates during test period
 - Feedback after test period
 - Only Aggregated feedback
- Metric: Team Draft Interleaving
 - Fraction of wins against production

Production	Researcher
doc 1	doc 2
doc 2	doc 4
doc 3	doc 7
doc 4	doc 1
doc 5	doc 3

Production

Researcher



Production

Researcher



Production

Researcher

Researcher is preferred over Production



• 39 teams signed up

- 39 teams signed up
 - Industry: 904labs, Microsoft, Plista, Yahoo

- 39 teams signed up
 - Industry: 904labs, Microsoft, Plista, Yahoo
 - Academia: au, bw, cz, fr, ie, in, jp, nl, no, uk, us

- 39 teams signed up
 - Industry: 904labs, Microsoft, Plista, Yahoo
 - Academia: au, bw, cz, fr, ie, in, jp, nl, no, uk, us
- 20 teams signed our agreement

- 39 teams signed up
 - Industry: 904labs, Microsoft, Plista, Yahoo
 - Academia: au, bw, cz, fr, ie, in, jp, nl, no, uk, us
- 20 teams signed our agreement
- 12 teams submitted runs

- 39 teams signed up
 - Industry: 904labs, Microsoft, Plista, Yahoo
 - Academia: au, bw, cz, fr, ie, in, jp, nl, no, uk, us
- 20 teams signed our agreement
- 12 teams submitted runs
- 3 teams submitted 5 runs for test queries

the lab use cases conclusions the lab use cases conclusions



	Local domain search	
Provider	uva.nl	
Data	raw queries and (generally textual) documents	
Site traffic	relatively low	
Info needs	(mostly) navigational	

	Local domain search	Product search	
Provider	uva.nl	regiojatek.hu	
Data	raw queries and (generally textual) documents	raw queries and (highly structured) documents	
Site traffic	relatively low	relatively low (~4K sessions/day)	
Info needs	(mostly) navigational	(mostly) transactional	

	Local domain search	Product search	Web search
Provider	uva.nl	regiojatek.hu	seznam.cz
Data	raw queries and (generally textual) documents	raw queries and (highly structured) documents	pre-computed document-query features
Site traffic	relatively low	relatively low (~4K sessions/day)	high
Info needs	(mostly) navigational	(mostly) transactional	vary

Not implemented USE Cases				
	Local domain search	Product search	Web search	
Provider	uva.nl	regiojatek.hu	seznam.cz	
Data	raw queries and (generally textual) documents	raw queries and (highly structured) documents	pre-computed document-query features	
Site traffic	relatively low	relatively low (~4K sessions/day)	high	
nfo needs	(mostly) navigational	(mostly) transactional	vary	

Product Search



Product Search

- Toy store
- Highly structured documents representing products
- Many fields:
 - age_max, age_min, arrived, available, bonus, price, brand, category, category_id, characters, description, etc, ...

Product Search - Participation

Product Search - Participation

• 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS

- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS
 - IRIT

- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS
 - IRIT
 - GESIS

- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS
 - IRIT
 - GESIS
- 5 runs submitted for test queries

- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS
 - IRIT
 - GESIS
- 5 runs submitted for test queries
- One baseline

- 3 teams submitted runs for train queries
 - UIS
 - IRIT
 - GESIS
- 5 runs submitted for test queries
- One baseline
 - Sorting by historical clicks



• Participants **should** update available products

- Participants **should** update available products
- Rankings **may** contain stale products

- Participants **should** update available products
- Rankings **may** contain stale products
- These products were removed after interleaving

- Participants **should** update available products
- Rankings **may** contain stale products
- These products were removed after interleaving
 - Biasing in favor of production (which never has stale products)

- Participants **should** update available products
- Rankings **may** contain stale products
- These products were removed after interleaving
 - Biasing in favor of production (which never has stale products)
 - Expected interleaving outcome is no longer 0.5

Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressior	ns p-value
BASELINE	0.4691	91	103	467	661	< 0.01
UIS-MIRA	0.3413	71	137	517	725	0.053
UIS-JERN	0.3277	58	119	488	665	0.156
UIS-UIS	0.2827	54	137	508	699	0.936
Expected Outcome	0.28					
GESIS	0.2685	40	109	374	523	0.785

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties #	#Impressior	ns p-value
	BASELINE	0.4691	91	103	467	661	< 0.01
	UIS-MIRA	0.3413	71	137	517	725	0.053
	UIS-JERN	0.3277	58	119	488	665	0.156
due to	UIS-UIS	0.2827	54	137	508	699	0.936
inventory changes	Expected Outcome	0.28					
Changes	GESIS	0.2685	40	109	374	523	0.785

ordered by	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impression	s p-value
historical	BASELINE	0.4691	91	103	467	661	< 0.01
clicks	UIS-MIRA	0.3413	71	137	517	725	0.053
	UIS-JERN	0.3277	58	119	488	665	0.156
	UIS-UIS	0.2827	54	137	508	699	0.936
	Expected Outcome	0.28					
	GESIS	0.2685	40	109	374	523	0.785

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
	BASELINE	0.4691	91	103	467	661	< 0.01
	UIS-MIRA	0.3413	71	137	517	725	0.053
	UIS-JERN	0.3277	58	119	488	665	0.156
	UIS-UIS	0.2827	54	137	508	699	0.936
OLR +	Expected Outcome	0.28					
ck rerank	GESIS	0.2685	40	109	374	523	0.785

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
	BASELINE	0.4691	91	103	467	661	< 0.01
Probabilistic	UIS-MIRA	0.3413	71	137	517	725	0.053
Retrieval Models	UIS-JERN	0.3277	58 54	119 137	488	665 699	0.156 0.936
	UIS-UIS Expected Outcome	0.2827 0.28	54	157	508	099	0.930
	GESIS	0.2685	40	109	374	523	0.785

Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	s p-value
BASELINE	0.5284	93	83	598	774	0.498
Expected Outcome	0.5					
UIS-JERN	0.4795	82	89	596	767	0.646
GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
no effect inventory	BASELINE Expected Outcome	0.5284 0.5	93	83	598	774	0.498
	UIS-JERN	0.3	82	89	596	767	0.646
	GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
	UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
	UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
	IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

ordered by	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
historical	BASELINE	0.5284	93	83	598	774	0.498
clicks	Expected Outcome	0.5					
	UIS-JERN	0.4795	82	89	596	767	0.646
	GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
	UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
	UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
	IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
	BASELINE	0.5284	93	83	598	774	0.498
	Expected Outcome	0.5					
ixed SOLR	UIS-JERN	0.4795	82	89	596	767	0.646
+ click	GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
rerank	UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
	UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
	IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
BASELINE	0.5284	93	83	598	774	0.498
Expected Outcome	0.5					
UIS-JERN	0.4795	82	89	596	767	0.646
GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

BM25F

	Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties #	#Impression	s p-value
	BASELINE	0.5284	93	83	598	774	0.498
	Expected Outcome	0.5					
Probabilistic	UIS-JERN	0.4795	82	89	596	767	0.646
Retrieval	GESIS	0.4520	80	97	639	816	0.229
Models	UIS-MIRA	0.4389	79	101	577	757	0.117
	UIS-UIS	0.4118	84	120	527	731	0.014
	IRIT	0.3990	79	119	593	791	0.005

Internet <u>Firmy</u> Mapy	<u>Slovník Zboží Obrázky Videa Encykloped</u>	ie		
SEZNAM.CZ	living labs for IR evaluation	Ų ×	Vyhledat	Pokročilé hledání »
	Vše <u>Česky</u>			
<page-header><text><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></text></page-header>	Living Labs « for IR evaluation We are happy to announce that Living Labs for IR Evalu CLEF 2015. The first edition of the lab will focus on three search (on an e-commerce site), (2) local domain living-labs.net/	· /		
<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><text><text><text><text><text></text></text></text></text></text></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>	Head First: Living Labs for Ad-hoc Sear infrastructures and gathering their own groups of test sea progress the living In this paper we present a living la marking platform. We krisztianbalog.com/files/cikm2014-lleval.pdf	rchers for camp	aign acts to	
VIENTIAL VIE	<u>living-labs / Il-api — Bitbucket</u> This repository holds code for the CLEF Living Labs for @inproceedings{Balog2014Head, title = {Head First: Livi Evaluation}, author = {K. Balog, L. Kelly, A. Schuth https://bitbucket.org/living-labs/ll-api			



CLEF Living Lab (@livinglabsnet), Twitter

The latest Tweets from CLEF Living Lab (@livinglabsnet). Give us your ranking, we'll have it clicked - Living labs is a new evaluation methodology for IR - We organize a lab at #CLEF2015 http://t.co/bZAXiUTifA https://twitter.com/livinglabsnet

• Learning to Rank setting

- Learning to Rank setting
 - 557 features

- Learning to Rank setting
 - 557 features
- >35K documents

- Learning to Rank setting
 - 557 features
- >35K documents
- >0.5M impressions

• 6 teams submitted runs for train queries

- 6 teams submitted runs for train queries
- 0 teams submitted runs for test queries

- 6 teams submitted runs for train queries
- 0 teams submitted runs for test queries
 - We report only baselines

Web Search - Results

#	1
---	---

Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
EXPLOITATIVE BASELINE	0.5527	3030	2452	19055	24537	< 0.01
Expected Outcome	0.5	100	1	1016	2226	0.01
UNIFORM BASELINE	0.2161	430	1560	1346	3336	< 0.01
		4 0				
		#2				
Submission	Outcome	#Wins	#Losses	#Ties	#Impressions	p-value
EXPLOITATIVE BASELINE	0.6035	3128	2055	18055	23238	< 0.01
Expected Outcome	0.5					
UNIFORM BASELINE	0.2547	435	1273	1053	2761	< 0.01

the lab use cases conclusions the lab use cases conclusions

Conclusions

• The first evaluation setup of its kind
- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far
 - Web search and Product search

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far
 - Web search and Product search
- Developed an API (code publicly available)

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far
 - Web search and Product search
- Developed an API (code publicly available)
- Interest from many teams

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far
 - Web search and Product search
- Developed an API (code publicly available)
- Interest from many teams
 - Participation from some

- The first evaluation setup of its kind
 - With real users, real task, real interactions
- Two implemented use cases so far
 - Web search and Product search
- Developed an API (code publicly available)
- Interest from many teams
 - Participation from some
- No baselines were beaten, yet

- If you ...
 - ... OWN
 - ... work at
 - ... collaborate with
- ... a search engine, please consider joining LL4IR!

• If you do IR research: participate

- If you do IR research: participate
 - it is easy (example code runs out of the box)

- If you do IR research: participate
 - it is easy (example code runs out of the box)
 - we run evaluation periods every 2 weeks

- If you do IR research: participate
 - it is easy (example code runs out of the box)
 - we run evaluation periods every 2 weeks
 - next period in less then a week (plenty of time!)

- If you do IR research: participate
 - it is easy (example code runs out of the box)
 - we run evaluation periods every 2 weeks
 - next period in less then a week (plenty of time!)
- Come to our Lab session Thursday Afternoon

Thank You



Anne Schuth University of Amsterdam Krisztian Balog University of Stavanger

Liadh Kelly Trinity College Dublin



Thanks to:

- CLEF
- ESF ELIAS
- COMMIT
- REGIO Jatek
- Seznam

http://living-labs.net @livinglabsnet